Appl. No. 10/774,626 Amdt. dated Dec. 13, 2006

Reply to Office action dated Nov. 3, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim 7 has been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Several deficiencies were pointed out by the Examiner. All of the specified deficiencies have been

corrected in the amended version of claim 7 presented above. All the corrections are believed to be

self-explanatory.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 9-13 were also rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, but

based only on their being dependent from claim 7 and due only to the deficiencies in claim 7. With

the correction of those deficiencies, claims 1-3, 5-7 and 9-13 are believed to be in full compliance

with this statutory provision.

Claim 7 has been indicated as being allowable over the prior art. Thus, it is now in

condition for allowance.

Dependent claim 1-3, 5, 6, and 9-13 are allowable along with claim 7.

Based on all of the above, prompt and favorable action toward allowance of the present

application is respectfully solicited.

It is believed that no fees or charges are required at this time in connection with the

present application. However, if any fees or charges are required at this time, they may be

charged to our Patent and Trademark Office Deposit Account No. 03-2412.

Respectfully submitted,

COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP

Thomas Langer, Reg No. 27,264

551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210

New York, New York 10176

(212) 687-2770

Dated: December 13, 2006

5